EFFECT OF ANTIMONY ON THE ANODIC CORROSION OF LEAD AND OXYGEN EVOLUTION AT THE $Pb/PbO_2/H_2O/O_2/H_2SO_4$ ELECTRODE SYSTEM

T. ROGACHEV

Central Laboratory of Electrochemical Power Sources, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia 1040 (Bulgaria)

(Received May 22, 1987; in revised form September 30, 1987)

Summary

When a Pb electrode immersed in H_2SO_4 solution is polarized in the PbO₂ potential range the following electrode system is formed: Pb/PbO₂/ $H_2O/O_2/H_2SO_4$. At the oxide/solution interface oxygen evolution takes place. The semiproducts of this reaction, O atoms and O⁻ radicals, diffuse into the anodic layer and oxidize the metal. As a result of a solid-phase reaction the metal is oxidized first to tet-PbO and then further oxidized to PbO₂. By examining the changes in the Tafel slopes of the above reactions and by analyzing the chemical composition of the anodic layer, the effect of Sb on the above processes is assessed.

Antimony was introduced into the electrode system either by alloying it with the metal or by adding it to the H_2SO_4 solution. It was established that Sb lowers the oxygen overvoltage and increases the rate of anodic corrosion of lead irrespective of the way in which it was introduced into the system. Antimony increases the stoichiometric coefficient of the oxide layer, hence, it enhances the oxidation of PbO to PbO₂. The results of these investigations confirm the mechanism of the processes proceeding during anodic oxidation of lead.

Introduction

When lead and lead alloy electrodes immersed in H_2SO_4 solution are anodically polarized in the PbO₂ potential range (potentials more positive than 0.95 V versus an Hg/Hg₂SO₄ reference) an anodical oxidation reaction of the metal proceeds at the metal/PbO₂ layer interface, while at the PbO₂ layer/H₂SO₄ solution interface oxygen is evolved. As a result of these processes the Pb/PbO₂/H₂O/O₂/H₂SO₄ electrode system is formed [1]. Studying the effect which antimony has on these two types of reaction is not only of theoretical but also of practical interest. It is used as a main alloying additive for the grids of lead-acid batteries and its influence on the corrosion rate has been investigated by many authors [2 - 10].

0378-7753/88/\$3.50

The aim of the present study is to elucidate the effect which antimony has on the electrochemical processes in the $Pb/PbO_2/H_2O/O_2/H_2SO_4$ electrode system.

Experimental

The effect which antimony has on the electrochemical behaviour of the $Pb/PbO_2/H_2O/O_2/H_2SO_4$ electrode system was investigated by studying its influence on the steady-state polarization curves of the two reactions. The polarization curves were obtained for 6.5 cm² plane electrodes, which were galvanostatically polarized. The electrode potential was measured versus an Hg/Hg_2SO_4 reference electrode in a 7 N H_2SO_4 solution. The partial steady-state current of metal oxidation (anodic corrosion) was determined by the weight-loss method, described in some of our earlier papers [8, 11]. The partial steady-state current of oxygen evolution was calculated as the difference between the total polarization current and the anodic corrosion current. Since the PbO₂ layer has a very high conductivity, it was assumed that there is no potential drop in the anodic oxidation layer. Consequently, the electrode potential recorded refers to both partial currents. This allowed us to make Tafel plots for both processes. After oxidation, the electrodes were washed, dried and the phase composition of the anodic layer at the oxide/solution interface determined by X-ray diffraction. The main part of the oxide layer was brushed away and the remaining thin oxide film, firmly attached to the metal, was X-rayed again. This diffractogram is characteristic of the phase composition of the anodic layer at the metal/anodic layer interface. The potential dependence of the phase composition at the two interfaces was obtained.

Lead alloys with varying Sb contents were prepared from pure metals: Pb, 99.999%; Sb, 99.95%, and then anodically polarized.

Experimental results

The effect antimony has on the electrochemical reactions at the lead electrode

The effect that Sb has on the anodic corrosion and oxygen evolution was investigated in two ways. In the first, Pb–Sb alloys were studied in H_2SO_4 solution, and in the second, Sb was added to the H_2SO_4 solution, thus forming $Sb_2(SO_4)_3$, and pure lead electrodes were polarized. During polarization the Sb³⁺ ion concentration is lowered. Antimony sulphate was added to the solution daily in order to maintain the Sb³⁺ ion concentration, which was checked periodically by chemical analysis, within the 0.03 - 0.06 g l⁻¹ limits.

Figure 1 presents the current-voltage characteristics of the oxygen evolution and corrosion processes for pure Pb electrodes immersed in an



Fig. 1. Polarization curves of the oxygen evolution reaction and the corrosion reaction for both Pb–Sb alloy and when Sb is introduced into the solution. The polarization relationships of both reactions for a Pb electrode in H_2SO_4 solution were taken from ref. 12 and are indicated by dashed lines.

 $H_2SO_4 + Sb_2(SO_4)_3$ solution, as well as for Pb-0.5%Sb electrodes in an H_2SO_4 solution. The data for the Pb/ H_2SO_4 electrode are taken from ref. 12 using the same methods and equipment and carrying out the experiments under the same conditions.

Antimony reduces the oxygen overvoltage by 50 - 80 mV, irrespective of the way in which it is added. If the above curves are considered at a constant potential then the introduction of Sb augments the oxygen evolution by one order of magnitude. As a result the polarization curve is shifted in the negative direction. Since the oxygen evolution determines the electrode potential, by shifting the potential curve of the former the corrosion curve is also shifted. Antimony increases the corrosion rate, irrespective of the way that it is added.

Figure 2 presents the polarization curves for the partial currents of corrosion and oxygen evolution of Pb-Sb alloys with different Sb contents. For comparison, the respective dependencies for pure lead are also presented.



Fig. 2. Current-voltage relations of the oxygen evolution reaction and the corrosion reaction for lead electrodes alloyed with different amounts of Sb. The polarization relationships of both reactions for a Pb electrode in H_2SO_4 solution were taken from ref. 12 and are represented by dashed lines.

The partial currents of Pb-Sb alloys follow a Tafel dependence with two slopes, as established with Pb oxidation [11]. With increased Sb content the polarization curves, as well as the potential, φ_k , at which their slope is changed, shift in the negative direction. Increased Sb content also increases the b_{O_2} value (from the Tafel equation) for $\varphi > \varphi_k$. For $\varphi < \varphi_k$ it remains almost constant for different alloys.

The relationship between the rates of oxygen evolution current (i_{O_2}) and of anodic corrosion current (i_C) of Pb and Pb-Sb alloys is plotted in log-log coordinates in Fig. 3.

At the same current density of oxygen evolution increasing the Sb content in the alloys from 4 to 12% only brings about an insignificant rise in the corrosion rate.

Figure 4 presents the change in the steady-state corrosion rate of Pb–Sb alloys in relation to the Sb content and the total current density. It can be



Fig. 3. Relationship between the corrosion and the oxygen currents for lead electrodes alloyed with different amounts of Sb. The dashed-line curve represents the ratio between the rates of both reactions for pure Pb in H_2SO_4 solution (taken from ref. 12).



Fig. 4. Dependence of the corrosion rate of Pb-Sb alloys on the Sb content at different polarization current densities.

seen that Sb increases the corrosion rate of pure Pb. When the total current density grows to 15 mA cm^{-2} the corrosion rate of Pb–Sb alloys rises slightly. At an oxidation current density of 14.6 mA cm^{-2} , the corrosion rate, although

almost independent of the Sb content in the alloy, is lower than that of pure lead and is reduced even more with increasing Sb content.

The relationship between the steady-state potential and the Sb content in the alloy, at different total current densities is presented in Fig. 5. The potential of all Pb–Sb alloys is lower than that of pure lead and, for total current densities up to 14.6 mA cm⁻², increasing the Sb content reduces the potential between 70 and 100 mV. Above this current density the potential passes through a minimum and then rises by about 40 mV for higher Sb contents.



Fig. 5. Dependence of the steady-state potential of Pb-Sb alloys on the Sb content at different polarization current densities.

A relationship was sought between the change in the Tafel slopes and the phase composition of the anodic layer. For this purpose, the phase compositions of the anodic layer at the PbO₂/solution and at the alloy/PbO₂ interfaces were established by X-ray diffraction. The content of a given phase (a) in the anodic layer was assessed by the relative intensity of the characteristic diffraction line $(I_a/\Sigma I_n) \times 100$, where ΣI_n is the sum of the intensities of all the diffraction lines, and I_a is the intensity of the characteristic diffraction line of phase (a).

Figure 6(a) illustrates the distribution of the β -PbO₂ phase at the two phase interfaces, Fig. 6(b) the distribution of β -PbO₂ and tet-PbO, and Fig. 6(c) that of tet-PbO and α -PbO₂, after 300 hours of oxidation as a function of the steady-state potential.

By comparing Figs. 2 and 6 it can be seen that the change in the Tafel slopes is related to the alteration of the phase composition of the anodic layer. At $\varphi < \varphi_k$ the slope is determined by the β -PbO₂ phase and the oxygen evolution proceeds mainly on it, while at $\varphi > \varphi_k$ it is determined by the α -PbO₂ phase. When the amount of Sb at the alloy/PbO₂ interface is increased the β -PbO₂ content in the anodic deposit grows. With pure Pb electrodes β -PbO₂ is formed only at the PbO₂/solution interface [12], while with





Pb–Sb electrodes it is formed at both phase interfaces. At potential more negative than φ_k the anodic layer at the PbO₂/solution interface consists of a considerable amount of β -PbO₂ and, with the addition of Sb in the alloys, this amount rises slightly. The intensity of the 2.79 Å line is weakened; probably due to the oxidation of tet-PbO to β -PbO₂. The characteristic lines of α and β -PbO₂ on the diffractograms are very broad, which implies that the anodic deposit has a fine crystalline structure, *e.g.*, antimony causes a decrease in the PbO crystal size.

It is impossible, however, to determine the exact amount of tet-PbO and PbO₂ in the anodic layer using X-ray diffraction alone and we used chemical analysis [12] to assess the stoichiometric coefficient n of the oxide layer (PbO_n). Table 1 presents values of n obtained after oxidation of Pb-Sb alloys for 300 h at various current densities.

% Sb	0.5	4.9	7.3	10.6
n	1.40 - 1.70	1.60 - 1.75	1.65 - 1.75	1.75 - 1.85

These numbers are overall values since the chemical analysis was performed for the total amount of the anodic layer. Figure 6 shows that the PbO and PbO_2 contents vary through the depth of the anodic layer. This change has not been accounted for in the data of Table 1.

The stoichiometric number increases with the amount of Sb and is lowest for oxides obtained on a pure Pb electrode [12]. This implies that antimony enhances the oxidation of tet-PbO to PbO_2 .

Discussion of results

Kabanov *et al.* [13] advanced the idea that the anodic corrosion of lead is caused by oxygen which penetrates the anodic layer. In a series of papers [11, 12, 14] this idea was developed and in ref. 11 a model was evolved of the processes proceeding during oxidation of the Pb/non-stoichiometric PbO₂/O₂/H₂O/H₂SO₄ electrode system in the PbO₂ potential range. The electrode potential is determined by the oxygen evolution reaction. Hence, it determines the concentration of O atoms and O⁻ radicals in the anodic layer. These concentrations in their turn affect the rate of the corrosion process. Consequently, in spite of the chemical character of the reaction between Pb and O₂, it depends on the potential. This is illustrated by the polarization relations, i_C/φ , in Figs. 1 and 2.

There are three main items upon which antimony may have an effect:

(a) On the oxygen overvoltage. The effect of Sb is expressed by a shift in the polarization potential curve. This influence is demonstrated in a clearcut manner when the additive is introduced into the solution.

TABLE 1

(b) On the rate of metal oxidation to tet-PbO. This effect is exerted when the additive is alloyed with the metal and the electrodes thus prepared are subjected to anodic oxidation.

(c) On the rate of oxidation of tet-PbO to PbO_2 . A way of assessing the effect of a given additive on this reaction is by calculating the stoichiometric coefficient. When the additive is introduced into the alloy during electrode oxidation it is also oxidized and its ions are either built into the oxide in the form of a solid solution or they form a separate phase in the anodic layer. Introduced in this way they affect the phase composition of PbO_2 and the mobility of O atoms and O⁻ radicals in the PbO_2 layer. They also influence the rate constant of the oxidation reaction of Pb^{2+} ions to Pb^{4+} ions in the oxide.

The experimental results of Figs. 1 and 2 demonstrate that antimony, irrespective of the way it is added, reduces the oxygen overvoltage, *i.e.*, it enhances oxygen evolution, whereby the polarization curve is shifted in the negative direction. Thus, the concentration of O atoms and O⁻ radicals at the oxide/solution interface is increased as a result of which the rate of oxygen diffusion towards the lead surface grows. The experimental results confirm the model of the processes in the electrode system Pb/non-stoi-chiometric PbO₂/O₂/H₂O/H₂SO₄ described in ref. 11. The corrosion process (reactions (1) and (2)) is by itself a chemical reaction preceded by an electrochemical one (oxygen evolution).

$$Pb + O \longrightarrow tet-PbO$$

The corrosion rate can be expressed by the following equation

$$i_{\rm C} = V_{\rm C} = K_{\rm C} C_{\rm O}^{\rm M}$$

where K_c is the rate constant of the metal oxidation reaction, C_0^M is the concentration of O atoms in the oxide layer at the metal surface. It is determined by the concentration of O atoms and O⁻ radicals at the oxide/solution interface and by the rate of diffusion through the corrosion layer. It also depends on the potential.

Part of the O atoms participate in reaction (2), hence, their flow through the anodic layer is decreased:

$$m$$
tet-PbO + p O = tet-PbO_n

The data on Figs. 1, 2, and 3 imply that antimony increases the corrosion rate. This may be due either to a rise in the rate constant of reaction (2), or to changes in the diffusion coefficients of the O atoms and radicals during their movement through the anodic layer, or to the effect of both parameters simultaneously.

List of symbols

 φ Stationary potential φ_k Critical potential

(1)

(2)

- *i* Oxidation current density
- $i_{\rm C}$ Partial corrosion current
- i_{O_1} Partial oxygen evolution current
- $V_{\rm st}$ Stationary corrosion rate
- *I*_a Characteristic diffraction line of phase "a"
- I_n Characteristic diffraction line of phase "n"

References

- 1 D. Pavlov, in B. D. McNicol and D. A. J. Rand (eds.), Power Sources for Electric Vehicles, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1984.
- 2 J. Burbank and A. Simon, J. Electrochem. Soc., 100 (1953) 11.
- 3 J. Burbank, J. Electrochem. Soc., 104 (1957) 693; 118 (1971) 525.
- 4 W. Hofmann, Blei und Bleilegierungen, Springer, Berlin, 1962.
- 5 P. Ruetchi and B. Cahan, J. Electrochem. Soc., 104 (1957) 406; 105 (1958) 396.
- 6 J. J. Lander, J. Electrochem. Soc., 98 (1951) 213; 103 (1956) 1; 99 (1952) 467.
- 7 V. P. Mashovets and A. Z. Lyanders, Zh. Prikl. Khim., 21 (1948) 441.
- 8 D. Pavlov, M. Boton and M. Stojanova, Bull. Inst. Chim. Phys., 5 (1965) 55.
- 9 F. Arifuku, H. Jonegama and H. Tamura, J. Appl. Electrochem., 9 (1970) 629.
- 10 A. Simon, J. Electrochem. Soc., 114 (1967) 1.
- 11 D. Pavlov and T. Rogatchev, Electrochim. Acta, 31 (1986) 241.
- 12 D. Pavlov and T. Rogatchev, Electrochim. Acta, 23 (1978) 1237.
- 13 I. I. Astachov, E. S. Weisberg and B. N. Kabanov, Dokl. Acad. Nauk, USSR, 154 (1964) 1414.
- 14 D. Pavlov, Electrochim. Acta, 23 (1978) 845.